

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FI	LING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/905,482 07/13/2001		07/13/2001	Edward W. Baldwin	R7560/261132	3693
23370	7590	10/14/2004	EXAMINER		INER
JOHN S. P			LANGEL, WAYNE A		
KILPATRICK STOCKTON, LLP 1100 PEACHTREE STREET				ARTUNIT	PAPER NUMBER
ATLANTA, GA 30309				1754	
				DATE MAILED: 10/14/2004	.

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.





UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

SERIAL NUMBER	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED APPLICANT	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
Γ			EXAMINER

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

DATE MAILED:

Below is a communication from the EXAMINER in charge of this application.

COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS, AND TRADEMARKS

	ADVISORY ACTION					
×	THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE IS EXTENDED TO RUN MONTHS FROM THE DATE OF THE FINAL REJECTION. 855 O.G. 1109.					
×	Appellant's Brief is due in accordance with Rule 192 (a). Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed					
1. 🗆	The proposed amendments to the claim and/or specification will not be entered and the final rejection stands because:					
	a.					
2. 🗆	Newly proposed or amended claims would be allowed if submitted in a separately filed amendment cancelling the non-allowable claims.					
3.	Upon the filing of an appeal, the proposed amendment will be will not be, entered and the status of the claims in this application would be as follows:					
	a. A Claims 8-10 and 13 would be allowable. if written in independent of the claims 1,2,6,7 and 1/-18 would not be allowable.					
	However:					
	(1) The rejection of claims on references is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response. (2) The rejection of claims on non-reference grounds only is deemed to be overcome by applicant's response.					
4.	The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration has been entered but does not overcome the rejection.					
5. 🗌	The affidavit or exhibit will not be admitted because applicant has not shown good and sufficient reasons why it was not earlier presented.					
6. 🗆	The application having been examined under the special accelerated examining procedure (M.P.E.P. 708.02), the proposed amendment has not been considered since it does not prima facie place the application in condition for allowance or in better condition for appeal.					

Wayne A. LANGEL
PRIMARY EXAMINER